Structural and functional biomarkers of prodromal Alzheimer’s disease: A high-dimensional pattern classification study
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This work builds upon previous studies that reported high sensitivity and specificity in classifying individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), which is often a prodromal phase of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), via pattern classification of MRI scans. The current study integrates MRI and PET $^{15}$O water scans from 30 participants in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging, and tests the hypothesis that joint evaluation of structure and function can yield higher classification accuracy than either alone. Classification rates of up to 100% accuracy were achieved via leave-one-out cross-validation, whereas conservative estimates of generalization performance in new scans, evaluated via bagging cross-validation, yielded an area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve equal to 0.978 (97.8%), indicating excellent diagnostic accuracy. Spatial maps of regions determined to contribute the most to the classification implicated many temporal, prefrontal, orbitofrontal, and parietal regions. Detecting complex patterns of brain abnormality in early stages of cognitive impairment has pivotal importance for the detection and management of AD.
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Amnestic mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is often a prodromal stage to Alzheimer’s disease (AD), as individuals with MCI may convert to AD at an annual rate as high as 15% (Petersen et al., 1999). Therefore, MCI is frequently considered to be a good target for early AD diagnosis, and for therapeutic interventions. MRI and PET imaging can potentially be used as diagnostic tools that assess brain structure and function in a direct and objective way and have potential utility for diagnosis, prognosis, and evaluation of disease progression and treatment effects.

Many imaging studies have found loss of grey matter (GM) and metabolic abnormalities in MCI. Most of the earlier studies were based on volumetric measurements of regions of interest (ROIs) (Chetelat et al., 2002; Convit et al., 2000; Dickerson et al., 2001; Fox et al., 1996a; Kaye et al., 1997; Killiany et al., 2000), such as the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex, and have confirmed GM atrophy in regions that are known to be affected by AD. However, the pattern of AD pathology is complex and evolves as the disease progresses, starting mainly in the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, and subsequently spreading throughout temporal and orbitofrontal cortex, posterior cingulate, and association cortex generally (Braak et al., 1998). Therefore, measuring volumes or average PET signals of a few structures cannot capture the spatio-temporal pattern of structural and physiological abnormalities in their entirety. Moreover, measurements of hand-drawn ROIs are not easily reproducible within and across different raters. Last but not least, the pattern of disease progression does not necessarily follow pre-determined anatomical boundaries.

Voxel-based morphometry (VBM) has been proposed by a number of investigators as a more comprehensive way of measuring the spatial distribution of brain atrophy in MCI and AD, by evaluating images region by region instead of making $a$ priori assumptions about specific ROIs. A variety of VBM-type methods exist (Ashburner et al., 2003; Miller et al., 1997). VBM studies have confirmed that complex spatial patterns of brain atrophy can be measured in MCI and AD (Bozzali et al., 2006; Chetelat et al., 2002; Davatzikos et al., 2008; Karas et al., 2004; Pennanen et al., 2005a; Saykin et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2001; Whitwell et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2006). However, VBM analyses are of limited value for individual diagnosis, since they measure group differences and are not equipped to classify individuals. Towards this goal, high-dimensional pattern classification methods have
been pursued in recent years (Davatzikos, 2004; Duchesne et al., 2006; Fan et al., 2006a, 2007a, 2005, 2006b, 2007b; Golland et al., 2002; Lao et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2004; Timoner et al., 2002), and have shown great potential in a variety of neuroimaging studies (Davatzikos et al., 2008, 2005a,b; Fan et al., 2008a, 2005, 2006b, 2007b, Kawasaki et al., 2007; Mourao-Miranda et al., 2005; Yoon et al., 2007). Unlike VBM-type methods, which are mass univariate and don’t consider statistical associations among different brain regions, high-dimensional pattern classification methods are multivariate, thereby leading to better group separation, which is critical for individual diagnosis. Put differently, no brain region has sufficient sensitivity and specificity in identifying individuals at risk for AD, or even with AD, due to high inter-individual variability. However combinations of measurements from many different regions and imaging modalities can potentially build patterns of high discriminative power.

The current study builds upon previous work in Davatzikos et al. (2008), aiming to construct an imaging-based diagnostic method for individuals with MCI, using data from a prospective longitudinal study of aging (Resnick et al., 2000). In our prior study, we were able to discriminate structural MRI scans of individuals with MCI from cognitively normal individuals with accuracy up to 90%, upon cross-validation. In the current paper, we investigate the added value of combining structural MRI and PET images of regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in discriminating between MCI and normal controls. We apply techniques of adaptive regional feature extraction, feature selection, and multivariable support vector machine classification, which construct a multivariable classifier by learning from training samples (Fan et al., 2005, 2007b). In addition, we extend our approach using a technique called bagging (Breiman, 1996; Duda et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2008b), to obtain more conservative estimates of how well this approach is likely to generalize to new individuals. This study is therefore the first to demonstrate the potential of pattern classification methods applied to a combination of structural MRI and functional PET images in achieving highly sensitive and specific diagnostic accuracy in MCI.

Methods

Participants

MRI scans from 30 elderly individuals were obtained annually as part of the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging (BLSA) neuroimaging sub-study (Resnick et al., 2000). The BLSA neuroimaging study, initiated in 1994, is a prospective study of brain structure and function in older adults, which investigates structural, functional, and cognitive changes associated with normal aging and cognitive impairment. At initial enrollment, all individuals were free of dementia and other central nervous system disorders, severe cardiovascular impairment. At initial enrollment, all individuals were free of dementia and other central nervous system disorders, severe cardiovascular impairment.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>Normal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of subjects</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex no. of males</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of left-handed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education, mean (SD)</td>
<td>15.8 (3.7)</td>
<td>16.7 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline age (year), mean (SD)</td>
<td>76.9 (7.3)</td>
<td>75.2 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at last visit (year), mean (SD)</td>
<td>82.4 (6.6)</td>
<td>81.2 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up interval (year), mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>6.6 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline MMSE, mean (SD)</td>
<td>27.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>28.2 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE at last visit, mean (SD)</td>
<td>25.8 (3.0)</td>
<td>29.0 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants in this MCI study

Image acquisition procedures are detailed in Resnick et al. (2000), MR scanning was performed on a GE Signa 1.5 T scanner. The current results are based on a high-resolution volumetric “spoiled grass” (SPGR) series (axial acquisition, TR=35, TE=5, flip angle=45, FOV=24, matrix=256 × 256, NEX=1, voxel dimensions of 0.94×0.94×1.5 mm slice thickness). Resting PET images of participants were acquired on a GE 4096+ scanner which provides 15 slices of 6.5 mm thickness. During scanning, participants were instructed to keep their eyes open and focused on a computer screen covered by a black cloth. PET measures of rCBF were obtained using $[^{15}O]$ water. Images were acquired for 60 s from the time the total radioactivity counts in brain reached threshold level. A transmission scan acquired before the emission scans was used to perform attenuation correction.

Image analysis

Image pre-processing was performed according to the protocol that has been described and validated in Davatzikos et al. (2001) and Goldszal et al. (1998). The pre-processing steps included 1) alignment to the AC–PC plane; 2) removal of extra-cranial material (skull-stripping); 3) tissue segmentation into grey matter (GM), white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), using a brain tissue segmentation method proposed in Pham and Prince (1999); 4) high-dimensional image warping (Shen and Davatzikos, 2002) to a standardized coordinate system, a brain atlas (template) that was aligned with the MNI coordinate space (Kabani et al., 1998);
and 5) formation of regional volumetric maps, named RAVENS maps (Davatzikos et al., 2001; Goldszal et al., 1998; Shen and Davatzikos, 2003), using tissue-preserving image warping (Goldszal et al., 1998). RAVENS maps quantify the regional distribution of GM, WM, and CSF; one RAVENS map is formed for each tissue type. In particular, if the image warping transformation that registers an individual scan with the template applies an expansion to a GM structure, the GM density of the structure decreases accordingly to ensure that the total amount of GM is preserved. Conversely, a RAVENS value increases during contraction if tissue from a relatively larger region is compressed to fit a smaller region in the template. Consequently, RAVENS values in the template's (stereotaxic) space are directly proportional to the volume of the respective structures in the original brain scan. Therefore, regional volumetric measurements and comparisons are performed via measurements and comparisons of the respective RAVENS maps. For example, patterns of GM atrophy in the temporal lobe are quantified by patterns of RAVENS decrease in the temporal lobes in the stereotaxic space. In order to account for variations in head size, RAVENS maps were normalized by total intra-cranial volume (ICV).

The RAVENS approach has been extensively validated (Davatzikos et al., 2001; Goldszal et al., 1998) and applied to a variety of studies (Beresford et al., 2006a,b; Driscoll et al., 2007; Gur et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2003; Resnick et al., 2001, 2004, 2000, 2003; Stewart et al., 2006). It bears similarities with the "optimized VBM" approach (Good et al., 2002), except that it uses a highly conforming high-dimensional image warping algorithm that captures finer structural details. Moreover, it uses tissue-preserving transformations, which ensure that image warping preserves the absolute amounts of GM, WM, and CSF tissues present in an individual's scan, thereby allowing for precise local volumetric analysis.

PET images of resting-state rCBF were first co-registered with the MRI scans using mutual information, and then spatially normalized to the same template space based on the spatial normalization transformation parameters determined from the MRI. PET images were then intensity-normalized using proportional scaling, i.e. they were divided by the total counts in the brain.

**Statistical analysis and pattern classification**

The RAVENS maps and the spatially normalized PET images, all co-registered in the same template space, were smoothed by Gaussian filters of full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) smoothing kernels 6 mm and 15 mm, respectively. Group comparisons for MRI and PET images, separately, were performed via voxel-wise effect sizes.

Since the main goal of this paper is to test diagnostic tools for individual scans, rather than to identify statistical differences between two potentially overlapping groups, we applied high-dimensional pattern classification (Fan et al., 2007b) in addition to voxel-based group analyses. In particular, regional features were first extracted from brain regions/clusters exhibiting significant group differences examined by two-sample Hotelling's T-square statistic of tissue density and PET scan intensity values; local clusters were formed using a watershed-based region growing technique described in Fan et al. (2007b). A feature reduction process was then applied, using a feature selection method which identifies a minimal set of brain regions that jointly provide optimal separation between MCI and CN individuals on an individual scan basis. A linear support vector machine (SVM) classifier was finally trained based on features extracted from the ODC, which outputs a value, indicating a pattern resembling MCI when positive, or brain pattern in unimpaired individuals when negative. Local volumetric measurements, as defined by the values of the RAVENS maps, and rCBF were combined from all ODC into a measurement of spatial patterns of brain structure and physiology. Leave-one-out cross-validation was used to test this classification scheme on datasets not used for training. The pattern classification method provides a structural-functional biomarker score (SFBS). For a classifier constructed from the CN and MCI groups, positive SFBS implies MCI-like imaging profile, and vice-versa.

**Evaluation via cross-validation and bagging**

The procedure described earlier assumes that we know in advance the number of brain regions to use for building the classifier. Too few regions typically result in under-training, and too many regions cannot be supported by our limited sample size. In these experiments we report classification accuracy as a function of the number of regions that one can use. However, in practice, the number of brain regions is not known a priori. Therefore, and in order to evaluate the generalization performance of the high-dimensional pattern classification method under the assumption that it will automatically determine the best number of brain regions, the bagging-cross-validation technique was applied (Fan et al., 2008b). In particular, one subject is first selected as a test subject, and the remaining subjects are used for building an ensemble classifier whose parameters are automatically optimized in a bagging framework (Breiman, 1996). Bagging essentially applies a second cross-validation step within the training set, in order to determine the optimal parameters of the classifier. In particular, if n is the total number of subjects in the study, one is left out for testing, and the remaining n−1 are used for training. From these n−1 samples, we form n−1 different training sets, each time leaving one more subject out, thereby each time having a training set of n−2 subjects. For each of these training sets, the high-dimensional pattern classification method is used to build a classifier, referred to as a bootstrap classifier, whose performance is then evaluated on the (n−1)th sample. This procedure is repeated n−1 times, once for each bootstrap classifier. This process allows us to determine the optimal parameters of the classifier, including the number of clusters that is used, so that the area under the ROC curve is maximized (Huang and Ling, 2005). The classifier is then tested on the n-th subject, the test subject, who has been completely left out of the entire training and parameter optimization process. It is important to note, here, that this process generates n−1 bootstrap classifiers. Therefore, when the test sample is to be classified, all n−1 classifiers are used, and their outputs $s_{i}, i=1,...,n−1$, are combined using voting, $v=2(card\{s_{i}>0\}−s_{i}, i=1,...,n−1)/(n−1)−0.5$. This provides the final classification score, i.e. the SFBS, which is compared with the true/known class of the test subject, in order to record classification errors. This process is repeated n times, each time leaving out a different subject, finally leading to an overall classification error obtained from n scans.

**Results**

**MRI-PET-based subject classification accuracy**

The classification rates obtained via leave-one-out cross-validation, as a function of the number of regions used in classification,
are shown in the left column of Fig. 1. (Three values were used from each region: GM volume, WM volume, and average normalized PET signal; hence the total number of features was 3 times as many as the number of regions.) As Fig. 1 indicates, cross-validated classification performance can reach up to 100%. However, the classification accuracy tends to be around 93%, on the average, for a reasonable range of the number of brain regions used by the classifier (between 50 and 80 brain regions). In particular, Fig. 1 indicates that if we choose 68 or 75 brain regions, cross-validation estimates a 100% classification accuracy, as opposed to a maximum classification accuracy of 93% achieved via MRI only from the same participants (Davatzikos et al., 2008). Later in our experiments we also report the results obtained by letting the classifier choose the optimal number of brain regions, using the bagging approach, which provides a generally more conservative estimate of generalization accuracy of the classifier.

Spatial pattern of MCI specific abnormalities

As shown in Fig. 2, the high-dimensional pattern classification method identified optimally differentiating clusters of brain atrophy and reduced blood flow, which collectively contributed to the classification. As we discussed in the previous section, leave-one-out cross-validation was used to estimate the classification accuracy. In each repetition of this procedure, a different subject was left out, thereby resulting in a different set of $n-1$ bootstrap classifiers. Therefore, a total of $n \times (n-1)$ classifiers was constructed, each of them possibly being slightly different from the other. In order to generate a visual picture of the brain regions that the collection of these classifiers evaluated for determination of the classification score, we calculated the number of bootstrap classifiers that used each region of the brain. This spatial map is shown in Fig. 2.

The conventional group analysis, via voxel-wise effect sizes separately on GM, WM, and PET, shows local maxima in regions of statistical significance (Fig. 3), which tend to be in agreement with the set of ODC depicted in Fig. 2. In general, these figures reveal that, in addition to the spatial pattern of atrophy, reduced
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Fig. 1. The classification rate as a function of the number of regions used in classification.
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Fig. 2. Spatial patterns of structural and functional brain abnormality associated with MCI detected by the classification method. Brain regions that collectively contributed to the classification are overlaid on the template image. Images are displayed in radiological convention. The relatively most consistently used regions are shown in red or yellow.
blood flow in the right posterior cingulate and in the left language-related association cortex contributes to the best discrimination between the two groups.

**Results from evaluation via cross-validation and bagging**

As discussed earlier, Fig. 1 indicates that, if we knew that we should use 68 or 75 brain regions, we would achieve 100% classification accuracy, estimated via leave-one-out cross-validation. However, for different number of regions we would obtain somewhat lower performance. The procedure detailed in the Methods section entitled “Evaluation via cross-validation and bagging” does not rely on *a priori* knowledge of the number of regions, but instead it automatically determines that number as part of the bagging process. It, therefore, generally yields slightly lower classification accuracy estimates, but provides a better indicator of the generalization ability of the classifier on new studies. The performance of the ensemble classifiers discussed in that section is summarized in Fig. 4. The area under the ROC curve of the ensemble classifiers that aggregates the bootstrap classifiers equals to 0.978, which indicates excellent diagnostic value.

![Fig. 3. Top left: Axial sections of effect size maps of group differences in GM. Top right and middle row: Tri-planar sections of effect size maps of group difference in GM. Bottom row: Axial sections of effect size maps of group differences in WM and PET. The color scales indicate CN>MCI. Images are in radiology convention.](image)
When the bagging procedure was applied to the MRI data alone, without the joint evaluation with PET, an 87% accuracy was obtained (AUC=0.875). Using the PET images only, we obtained 50% classification accuracy.

Discussion

This study investigated the diagnostic value of imaging biomarkers, obtained by integrating structural and functional images via high-dimensional pattern classification, for discrimination of cognitively normal individuals from individuals with mild cognitive impairment. Complex spatial patterns of brain atrophy and blood flow were identified and found to have very high diagnostic accuracy that reached 100%, which is 6% higher than the maximum classification rate achieved from MRI only. A more conservative estimate of generalization performance, obtained via a procedure called bagging in conjunction with leave-one-out cross-validation, was 90%, with an area under the curve equal to 0.978, which was also about 3% higher than the respective accuracy obtained via MRI alone, and much higher than accuracy achieved via PET alone. These results indicate an excellent diagnostic value of the SFBS, especially in view of the relatively limited sample size available in this study.

A strength of our prospectively assessed cohort is that we were able to study MCI at a relatively mild stage of cognitive decline and presumably of underlying pathology. The early identification of MCI in our sample is an important distinction between the current study and other studies that involve patients reporting to the clinic. Although 100% cross-validated classification accuracy was achieved for certain parameter settings, a more realistic estimate of the generalization accuracy of this approach is 90%, as the results of Fig. 4 indicated, which is based on automatic

The pattern of reduced blood flow measured in our study was asymmetric, and in agreement with the findings of Minoshima et al. (1994b); Reiman et al. (1996b) and Scarmeas et al. (2004) who used PET $^{15}$O imaging in an AD sample. A variety of PET studies in AD have demonstrated asymmetrically reduced blood flow or metabolic activity in AD patients (Foster et al., 1983; Ishii et al., 2005b; Kawachi et al., 2006; Koss et al., 1985; Martin et al., 1986) and in elderly individuals with cognitive decline (Hunt et al., 2007); however the biological underpinnings of these asymmetries are not quite known. Notably, these asymmetries are often reversed, implying that different individuals might be affected by, or compensate for, AD pathology differently. The pattern of reduced blood flow in association with MCI indicated decreases in posterior cingulate rCBF. Decreased neural activity in the posterior cingulate has been described in AD (e.g. Minoshima et al. (1994a) and in unaffected individuals who carry the Apolipoprotein E e4 risk factor for AD (Minoshima et al., 1994a; Reiman et al., 1996a).

Our findings suggest relatively symmetric brain atrophy, in contrast to some previous findings using VBM (Karas et al., 2004; Thompson et al., 2001). Although methodological differences in image analysis could also account for the differences of our findings and the ones in Karas et al. (2004), patient selection is also likely to be a factor. In particular, the study in Karas et al. (2004) was retrospective, whereas our study was prospective. Retrospective studies can be confounded by the fact that a relatively larger degree of right-hemisphere atrophy, compared to left, is likely to be tolerated before the patient reports to the clinic, since deficits in verbal memory are more likely to trigger a visit to the clinic relatively earlier. Prospective studies, however, tend to be more robust to such confounds. Moreover, our findings are consistent with histopathological studies of AD, which have found relatively symmetric patterns of brain atrophy (Braak et al., 1998).

The results of the high-dimensional pattern classification are very encouraging, since they indicate that sufficient sensitivity and specificity can be achieved for these tools to have diagnostic value in the clinic. Although 100% cross-validated classification accuracy was achieved for certain parameter settings, a more realistic estimate of the generalization accuracy of this approach is 90%, as the results of Fig. 4 indicated, which is based on automatic
estimation of a single number of brain clusters from the training set, instead of evaluating performance over a range of values for the number of clusters. This small discrepancy is mainly due to the small sample size available to us in this study, which typically leads to the jittery performance curves shown in Fig. 1 due to under-training of the classifier. Based on the curve of Fig. 1, we anticipate that more extensive training on larger sets of data will ultimately allow us to achieve stable classification performance close to 100%.

The set of ODC (Fig. 2) tended to be in agreement with the voxel-based analysis of the MRI and PET images (Fig. 3). However, the ODC approach yielded a more parsimonious number of brain clusters. This is to be expected, since the classification methodology inherently seeks the minimal number of brain clusters necessary for classification. Parsimonious models are of great value in interpreting the data, since they allow us to focus on the patterns of structural and functional changes that are most distinctive of MCI, thereby achieving a higher level of robustness. Interestingly, the spatial pattern of Fig. 2 fits with the known patterns of early AD pathology, spanning mainly the temporal lobe, the orbitofrontal cortex, and the posterior cingulate.

Although the majority of the neuroimaging literature of AD has focused on measuring regions that are most affected by the disease, such as the medial temporal lobe and posterior cingulate, our study suggests that patterns of structural and functional imaging characteristics must be evaluated to increase the accuracy of tools sufficient for diagnosis on an individual basis. These spatial patterns are complex and include many regions that are not known in advance. The methodology presented herein is built around this concept, namely that the set of brain measurements that optimally differentiates between the two groups cannot be known a priori, but is determined from the data. However, since leave-one-out cross-validation was used, the optimal set of clusters was always tested on new, previously unseen scans. This is fundamentally important, and guards against over-fitting the data, a problem analogous to that of multiple comparisons in VBM analyses.

Important for achieving high classification rate was not only the combination of many brain regions, but also the combination of PET and MRI. MRI alone had far superior classification accuracy than PET when each modality was used alone. However, the combination of the two provided the best results, suggesting that PET offers additional and somewhat complementary information to MRI. The combination of the two modalities is important for an additional reason, namely that changes in blood flow can be attributed, in part, to brain atrophy. Although regional measurements of brain atrophy could be used to normalize the PET signal, the fully multivariate approach followed herein offers a more general and comprehensive way of examining the data.

While the current study aims to develop an imaging-based diagnostic tool, it only takes one step in that direction. Our study examines the ability to distinguish between amnestic MCI and cognitively normal groups but does not include all possible types of dementia. For example, we do not test the ability of this classifier to distinguish between early stages of frontotemporal dementia and early stages of AD. However, this type of multi-class classification problem is generally a relatively straightforward extension of the two-group problem (Duda et al., 2001). In particular, multi-class classification is often achieved by applying a number of pair-wise two-class classifiers, and then combining the results using voting. Multi-class support vector machine classifiers are also available.

In summary, this study combined structural MRI and blood flow PET images in a high-dimensional pattern classification framework, which achieved up to 100% accuracy in classifying individual scans of patients with relatively mild MCI in a prospective longitudinal study of aging. The results indicate that high-dimensional classification, partly built upon voxel-based multivariate analysis of the integration of structural and functional images, has the potential to serve as an early diagnostic tool for AD on an individual patient basis. Future studies on larger samples, as well as on healthy elderly individuals with cognitive decline, will further test whether these structural and physiological alterations seen in this MCI cohort are replicated robustly and perhaps at even earlier disease stages. These diagnostic tests have a great potential in complementing standard neurological examinations, especially in evaluating disease progression reliably and quantitatively.
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