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Background: Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) is one of the most common and important pathologic features of traumatic brain injury (TBI). The susceptibility of axons to mechanical injury appears to be due to both their viscoelastic properties and their high organization in white matter tracts. Although axons are supple under normal conditions, they become brittle when exposed to rapid deformations associated with brain trauma. Accordingly, rapid stretch of axons can damage the axonal cytoskeleton resulting in a loss of elasticity and impairment of axoplasmic transport. Subsequent swelling of the axon occurs in discrete bulb formations or in elongated varicosities that accumulate transported proteins. Calcium entry into damaged axons is thought to initiate further damage by the activation of proteases. Ultimately, swollen axons may become disconnected and contribute to additional neuropathologic changes in brain tissue. DAI may largely account for the clinical manifestations of brain trauma. However, DAI is extremely difficult to detect noninvasively and is poorly defined as clinical syndrome.

Conclusions: Future advancements in the diagnosis and treatment of DAI will be dependent on our collective understanding of injury biomechanics, temporal axonal pathophysiology, and its role in patient outcome. Key words: amyloid-β, coma, diffuse axonal injury, diffuse brain injury, inertial brain injury, neurofilament, traumatic axonal injury

Diffuse Axonal Injury (DAI) is a "stealth" pathology of traumatic brain injury (TBI). Although found throughout the white matter, it comprises primarily microscopic damage, rendering it almost invisible to current imaging techniques. Yet, it is one of the most common and important pathologic features of TBI. It seems ironic that the size and organization of the human brain that allow us to design and drive automobiles are also our greatest liability of producing DAI in the event of a crash. Under the physical forces such as shear that are commonly induce TBI, the human brain can literally pull itself apart. In particular, axons in the white matter appear poorly prepared to withstand damage from rapid mechanical deformation of the brain during trauma. Here, we will explore the current understanding of the causes and pathologic changes associated with DAI. In addition, we will examine deficiencies in our current ability to diagnose, grade, and treat DAI.

General Classification of TBI

With more than 2 million patients affected in the United States each year, TBI is represented by a wide range of injury mechanisms and pathologies. As a simplification, two general categories of brain trauma have emerged, defined as "focal" and "diffuse" brain injury. Notably, however, these two forms of injury are commonly found together. Focal brain injury is typically associated with blows to the head that may produce cerebral contusions
and hematomas. Diffuse brain injury may occur in the absence of impact forces, but is dependent on inertial forces that are commonly produced by motor vehicle crashes and, in some cases, falls and assaults. These inertial forces are a result of rapid head rotational motions, which deform the white matter and lead to DAI, commonly referred to as “shearing” brain injury (Figure 1). Although coined as “diffuse,” the pattern of axonal damage in the white matter is more accurately described as multifocal, appearing throughout the deep and subcortical white matter and is particularly common in midline structures including the splenium of the corpus callosum and brainstem. In mild to low moderate DAI, there is often a remarkable absence of macroscopic pathology and the brains may appear normal upon radiologic examination. Nonetheless, microscopic examination of the brain tissue reveals the pathologic signature of DAI: a multitude of swollen and disconnected axons (Figure 2). In DAI at high severity, axonal pathology is accompanied by tissue tearing in the white matter and intraparenchymal hemorrhage.

**BIOMECHANICS OF DAI**

The principal mechanical force associated with the induction of DAI is rotational acceleration of the brain resulting from unrestricted head movement in the instant after injury. This inertial loading to the brain induces dynamic shear, tensile, and compressive strains within the tissue leading to dynamic tissue deformation. For the development of DAI, the size of the human brain plays an important role because of the substantial mass effects during injury that result in high strains between regions of tissue. Under normal daily activities brain tissue is compliant and ductile to stretch and easily recovers its original geometry. In contrast, under severe circumstances, when the strain is rapidly applied, such as during an automobile crash, the brain tissue acts far stiffer, essentially becoming more brittle. Thus rapid uniaxial stretch or “tensile elongation” of axons is thought to result in damage of the axonal cytoskeleton. This classic viscoelastic response to rapid deformation prompts a classification of dynamic injuries, in which the applied forces occur in less than 50 milliseconds. Accordingly, axonal injury is a dependent on both the magnitude of strain and rate of strain during brain trauma.

**Evolution of axonal pathology after brain trauma**

Disconnection of axons at the time of brain trauma (primary axotomy) is a relatively rare occurrence, with the exception of tissue tearing in the white matter in severe brain injury. Rather, axonal pathology has been shown to develop over the
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Fig 2. Photomicrographs demonstrating traumatic axonal pathology revealed by immunoreactivity of accumulating neurofilament protein. Darkly stained profiles show axonal pathology in the subcortical white matter (left) and brainstem (right), represented by elongated varicose swellings and axonal bulbs that form at the terminal stump of disconnected axons.

course of hours to days after injury and has even been observed months later.24–27 Within seconds of dynamic axonal stretch in vitro, axons can become temporarily undulated and misaligned from some loss of elasticity resulting from cytoskeletal damage.28 Although axons may slowly recover back to their prestretch orientation and shape, there is a characteristic evolution of physical and physiologic changes. In particular, mechanical damage to sodium channels may result in massive influx of sodium with resultant swelling.20 This sodium influx also triggers massive calcium entry through voltage-sensitive calcium channels and reversal of sodium/calcium exchangers.20,29 In turn, the increased intracellular calcium may play a role in the activation of proteolytic activity, as has been extensively examined.30–34 Adding to the immediate mechanical damage to the axonal cytoskeleton, further delayed damage may occur because of calcium-mediated proteolysis. This acute and delayed cytoskeletal damage is thought to result in impaired transport and accumulation of axonal transport proteins within axonal swellings.12,51–53,55–58 These swellings are characterized as two general forms; elongated varicosities or discrete bulb formations (see Figure 2). The most commonly used markers of protein accumulations in axonal swellings are the fast transport β-amyloid precursor protein (APP) and the slow transport neurofilament (NF) proteins.8,39–43

Thereafter, from days to months the course of evolving axonal pathology includes progressive disorganization of the axonal cytoskeleton and progressive protein accumulations, leading to disconnection of axon (secondary axotomy) with the signature pathologic feature of a bulb formation at the terminal end of the axon (previously referred to as “terminal clubbing” and “retraction balls”). It is important to consider that axonal disconnection in the white matter represents a final event in which the parent neuron has permanently lost the ability to communicate with its target at the other end of the tract. Although some DAI patients can achieve functional recovery, actual repair is limited to localized plasticity in the gray matter and potential mending of damaged axons in the white matter that did not disconnect.

Coma and DAI

Coma is the most common immediate impairment that has been associated with the severity of DAI. Indeed, an important difference between focal and diffuse brain injury is the source and character of post-traumatic coma resulting from these two
general forms of injury. Focal brain injury may include mass effects from hemorrhagic contusion or hematoma, which can induce herniation and brainstem compression. Resultant coma may not be immediate, but develop in a secondary fashion. Much in contrast to these mechanisms of producing coma, in a landmark study, Gennarelli and colleagues demonstrated that DAI can be a sole source for posttraumatic coma. Specifically, they observed that nonimpact rotational acceleration applied to the heads of nonhuman primates could induce an immediate and prolonged posttraumatic unconsciousness and DAI in the absence of mass lesions. Our laboratory has more recently found that coma is dependent on both the plane of head rotational acceleration and the resulting distribution of axonal pathology. In particular, axonal injury in the brainstem appears to be a primary factor in the generation of coma with DAI. Therefore, the depth and duration of coma with DAI may not be ideal measures of the relative extent of axonal pathology in the cerebral hemispheres or to gauge potential recovery of the patient.

DAI and a potential link with Alzheimer’s disease

Mounting evidence suggests that brain trauma may have prolonged effects and initiate insidiously progressive neurodegenerative processes. Previously, postmortem histopathologic analysis of brains from boxers with dementia pugilistica (“punch-drunk syndrome”) revealed neurofibrillary tangles and diffuse plaques composed of amyloid-β peptides (Aβs) similar to the hallmark lesions of Alzheimer disease (AD). Subsequently, a single incident of brain trauma was shown to induce the formation of Aβ plaques within days after injury, and a large increase in Aβ peptides has been found in the cerebrospinal fluid of brain-injured patients. It has long been suspected that accumulated APP in damaged axons could provide ample substrate for Aβ production. Indeed, immunohistochemical detection of APP accumulation in axons throughout the white matter has become a standard method to identify DAI in human brains. However, extensive axonal Aβ accumulation has only recently been identified in DAI in humans and animals models of brain trauma. These axonal Aβ accumulations are often found in proximity to Aβ plaques in both the white and gray matter. Overall, these observations suggest that damaged axons serve as a key source of Aβ, which can be released into the surrounding tissue from lysis or leakage of axonal bulbs. However, the clinical implications of this pathologic process have yet to be fully elucidated.

DIAGNOSIS OF DAI

After fatal brain trauma, DAI can be readily detected using immunohistochemical methods on brain sections. However, in survivors, DAI is virtually invisible to conventional brain imaging techniques, and is only hinted at if it is accompanied by macroscopic changes, such as white matter tears and parenchymal hemorrhage found in severe cases. The predominant pathology of DAI—microscopic axonal swellings—has proven extremely difficult to illuminate with noninvasive methods despite its extensive nature. Accordingly, patients and animal models with little macroscopic injury after diffuse brain injury typically have normal appearing images of the brain. This has led many to believe that axonal pathology is substantially underdiagnosed. Clinically, DAI is often a “diagnosis of exclusion” based on the inability of conventional imaging techniques to detect brain pathology despite overt symptoms, such as prolonged unconsciousness or cognitive dysfunction after brain trauma (Figure 3). Because of this diagnostic deficiency, the relative role of DAI in mild-to-moderate brain injury remains unclear.

Nonetheless, several new imaging and spectroscopic techniques are being developed that appear to better illuminate brain regions with axonal pathology. These include
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Fig 3. Three idealized brain images of hypothetical cases used to illustrate the poor prognostic value of current imaging techniques for traumatic brain injury (gray region represents gray matter, white region represents white matter). No changes are found in the image on the left and only focal changes (hyperintensities) are found on the image in the middle (subdural hematoma and small contusion) after brain trauma in two young adults. However, it is not uncommon to find that such patients have persisting impairments such as loss of attention, memory, and executive function. As a diagnosis of exclusion, the predominant pathology responsible for these deficits is diffuse axonal injury (DAI), which was invisible on the images. Contrast these circumstances with stroke. Often, ischemic damage resulting from stroke can occupy large regions of the brain and is readily visible using current imaging techniques, as represented by the hyperintense area in the image on the right. Nonetheless, even though these patients are typically elderly, their symptoms often resolve. Collectively, these hypothetical cases teach us that, although DAI is microscopic and not easy to detect, its diffuse nature may have far greater clinical implications than overt focal damage.

The magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) techniques of diffusion weighted imaging and magnetization transfer imaging, both of which take advantage of the molecular disarrangement of the white matter tracts with diffuse axonal pathology. In addition, magnetic resonance spectroscopy techniques used on standard MRI machines have also shown promise in revealing DAI. In the anticipation that therapies for DAI will be developed, it remains imperative that sensitive noninvasive techniques are fully developed for diagnosis.

TREATMENT OF DAI

Although an arsenal of agents has been shown to be therapeutic in rodent brain impact models, none have been translated into clinical efficacy. Sadly, all phase III clinical trials evaluating treatments for human brain trauma have failed miserably. Reasons for this are certainly multifactorial, but we must take into account that few of these therapies specifically targeted one of the most important pathologic features of human brain injury: DAI. An exception is the use of cerebral hypothermia, which was shown to reduce the number of axonal injury profiles and improve behavioral outcome in animal models of brain trauma. Unfortunately, a recent multicenter clinical trial evaluating hypothermia in severely brain-injured patients also failed to demonstrate efficacy. Alternative methods such as inhibiting calcium-mediated proteolysis or modulating mitochondrial permeability have recently shown promise in preserving axons in animals models of brain trauma.
Overall, advancement in our understanding of the temporal progression and pathophysiology of traumatic axonal injury is essential for the development of therapies aimed at repairing injured axons and preventing further damage.

**TBI GRADING AND OUTCOME: THE POTENTIAL ROLE OF DAI**

Although behavioral manifestations of damage in discrete brain regions after TBI have been extensively examined and characterized, the functional diagnosis of DAI has yet to be developed. To begin this endeavor, we must evaluate the clinical spectrum of TBI. TBI is typically classified according to clinical criteria, specifically the lowest Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score in the first 48 hours (severe TBI = 3–8, moderate TBI = 9–12, mild TBI = 13–15). Mild TBI includes patients sustaining blunt-based trauma or inertial injury, whereas the GCS is scored as 15 if loss of consciousness (<20–30 minutes), posttraumatic amnesia (<24 hours), transient confusion, or any alteration in mental status was present. Most clinicians will upgrade a patient to moderate TBI if there are any positive findings of neuroimaging based on outcome studies by Williams and Levin. Thus, by default, focal neuroimaging findings such as contusions, hemorrhages, or hematomas occur only in moderate or severe TBI. However, the stratification of patients resting on the observation of overt neuroimaging changes ignores the extent of DAI, which may have the greatest implications in the outcome of the patient (see Figure 3). It is well-recognized that mild brain injury patients often have persisting difficulties with concentration and memory. By exclusion, it is thought that these deficits reflect DAI. It stands to reason, therefore, that the severity of DAI might correlate with certain clinical impairments and prognoses of functional recovery in mild as well as moderate and severe TBI. Despite the inherent heterogeneity of TBI, we must attempt to discern these key characteristics that may ultimately define a “DAI syndrome.”

The clinical manifestations of mild TBI may offer the best clues to a potential DAI syndrome because there is little or no macroscopic damage that might cloud interpretation. These changes include physical impairments, cognitive impairments, mood disturbances, and behavioral impairments. Physical impairments include an assortment of daytime fatigue, disequilibrium, phonophobia, tinnitus, photophobia, blurry vision, nausea, and headaches. It should be noted, however, that headaches are not likely due to injury in the brain, but rather from cervical or cranial injury. Cognitive impairments encompass problems with attention, memory, and executive functions (eg, speed of processing, reasoning and mental flexibility). Mood disturbances and behavioral impairments are most commonly demonstrated by insomnia and behavioral dyscontrol (eg, irritability, easily triggered anger), but also as depressed mood and anxiety. Although general cortical function is intact, any combination of these “mild” symptoms can be devastating for the patients and their families.

The clinical manifestations of severe TBI are far more overt and are more likely to include brainstem structures and pathways. Initially, the extent and character of the injury is often masked by impaired consciousness and arousal. As consciousness improves, multiple severe impairments are typically observed in these patients. Cranial nerve dysfunction including ophthalmoparesis, olfactory, and gustatory problems, dysphagia, and vestibulopathy are common symptoms. Motor impairments range from tetraparesis to hemiparesis indicating that perhaps the distribution and extent of axonal pathology in DAI can vary unilaterally. Involuntary movements, spasticity, tremors, and dyspraxia can occur alone or in combinations. Perhaps the most common and important impairment in severe TBI patients is cognitive dysfunction. Although virtually all aspects of cognition are affected, the most challenging to
Diffuse Axonal Injury in Head Trauma

restore are impairments in memory and orientation, suggesting a selective vulnerability of pathways affecting these functions. Axonal pathology in frontolimbic pathways may be a key mechanism leading to agitation, inappropriate behavior, and extreme behavioral dyscontrol. Damage to other subcortical structures such as the hypothalamus and pituitary gland commonly results in a wide variety of metabolic and neuroendocrine disorders. Although there is extensive evidence that DAI plays an important role in these impairments, in most cases of severe TBI, it is difficult to determine the relative contribution of axonal pathology resulting from mechanical injury versus superimposed hypoxia or mass effect from hematomas or cerebral edema.

As with the need to improve noninvasive imaging techniques, advancement in our ability to diagnose DAI based on mental and physical assessment is imperative to properly determine prognosis and also in the anticipation that therapies will be developed to specifically target the short- and long-term consequences of DAI.
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